UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: . Case No. 09-16230 (REG)

DANIEL GORDON,

Debtor.

-----. Adv. No. 10-03767 (REG)

ANGELA TESE-MILNER, Trustee of the Estate of Daniel

Gordon,

Plaintiff,

. One Bowling Green v.

. New York City, NY 10004-1408

DANIEL GORDON,

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL BEFORE HONORABLE ROBERT E. GERBER UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

Law Offices of Gabriel Del For the Debtor:

Virginia

By: GABRIEL DEL VIRGINIA, ESQ.

YITZHAK GREENBERG, ESO.

880 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

Audio Operator: Kevin Su

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

> J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com (609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

For the Plaintiff: Fox Rothschild, LLP

By: YANN GERON, ESQ.

NICOLE N. SANTUCCI, ESQ. BARRI A. FRANKFURTER, ESQ.

2

100 Park Avenue, Suite 1500

New York, NY 10017

For the Defendant: Akerman Senterfitt LLP

By: DONALD N. DAVID, ESQ.

335 Madison Avenue, Suite 2600

New York, NY 10017

- - -

3

I N D E X

WITNESSES FOR THE DEBTOR		PAGE
DANIEL GORDON		
Examination by the Court		5
Cross Examination by Mr. Geron		19
WITNESSES FOR THE TRUSTEE		
DONALD DAVID		
Cross Examination by Mr. Geron		29
Continued Cross Examination by Mr. Geron		35
Redirect Examination by Mr. Del Virginia		50
BARTON NACHAMIE		
Cross Examination by Mr. Geron		30
Cross Examination by Mr. David		34
Recross Examination by Mr. Geron		34
<u>EXHIBITS</u>	<u>ID</u>	EVD.
Trustee's Binder 1 Trustee's Binder 2		54 54

COURT CLERK: All rise. 1 2 THE COURT: Good morning, you may be seated. 3 MR. GERON: Good morning, Your Honor. Good morning, Your Honor. 4 MR. DAVID: 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning, Your Honor. MR. GERON: Your Honor, I'm sorry about causing the 6 delay. I got off the subway because they were saying it wasn't running and then I wound up getting stuck in a cab. 8 9 apologizes to the Court and to my co-counsel. 10 THE COURT: That's okay. Let's proceed. Mr. Gordon, do you want to come on up, please? Remain standing. I'm just 11 going to remind you that you're still under oath from 13 yesterday. 14 Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to be questioning 15 under Federal Rule of Evidence 614. So you understand how 16 that's going to go, I will be asking a number of questions. remind you that you have the rights to object to my questions just as you have the right to object to each other's questions. 18 And in the event that anybody does so, I will rule on them just 19 like I rule on any others. 20 21 I'm also going to tell you in advance that after I complete my questioning, I'm going to give each of you the 22 23 opportunity if you choose, to avail yourself of, to ask any follow-up questions that flow from my questions but needless to

say, not to have a do-over of anything that we did yesterday

- 1 and not to cover anything except as reasonably flows from
- 2 questions that I asked.
- 3 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT:
- 4 Q Mr. Gordon, now have a seat, please. Do you still have
- 5 the exhibit binders in front of you?
- 6 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 7 Q Do you have a copy of the pretrial order in front of you?
- 8 A Is it -- no, unless it's in the binder.
- 9 Q Okay. It appears to be a 37 page document.
- MR. GERON: May I approach the witness, Your Honor, I
- 11 have an extra copy.
- 12 THE COURT: Yes, you may. And, by the way, folks, I
- 13 see that my copy doesn't reflect my ever having signed off on
- 14 the pretrial order. You can consider it to have been so
- 15 ordered by me, as of the beginning of business yesterday. It
- 16 certainly is approved. Okay.
- 17 O Mr. Gordon, would you turn, please, to the pretrial order,
- 18 Page 3. And it makes reference to a place at which you
- 19∥resided, of 151 East 85th Street in Manhattan. Do you see that
- 20 reference in Paragraph 3?
- 21 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 22 Q Okay. When did you live there?
- 23 A I lived there very briefly beginning in the fall of 2009,
- 24 up until about December of 2009.
- 25∥Q It says that the rent for the premises was \$19,000 per

- 1 month, do you see that?
- 2 A Yes.
- $3 \parallel Q$ Who was the lessee of record on that lease?
- 4 A Rosedale Cooley Management, Inc.
- $5 \parallel Q$ And you told us yesterday you were a guarantor of that
- 6 obligation?
- 7 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 8 Q Okay. Did you ever have to make payments to the landlord
- 9 under that guarantee?
- 10 A No, Your Honor.
- 11 0 Did that lease come to an end?
- 12 A Well -- yes, Your Honor, it did.
- 13 Q How?
- 14 A Well, Your Honor, after I filed my bankruptcy petition,
- 15 many of the financial aspects of my life and my businesses
- 16 changed in a very detrimental manner and to be able to service
- 17 that rent became impossible. So the landlord agreed to,
- 18 basically, terminate the lease after they found a replacement
- 19 tenant.
- 20 Q How long total did you occupy that apartment?
- 21 A About four months, Your Honor.
- 22 Q Did you report the rental value of the premises that was
- 23 paid for by Rosedale Cooley on your income tax?
- 24 A Yes, I believe I did.
- 25 Q Now, in the summer, just before you filed, you leased

- 1 property at 15 Jefferys Lane, East Hampton, New York?
- 2 A Yes, I did, Your Honor.
- $3 \parallel Q$ The total rent for the lease term was \$140,000?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Now, I'm looking at your statement of financial affairs,
- 6 Exhibit 26. Could you open that, please? Do you have it?
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 Q And that's the original statement of financial affairs
- 9 that you filed on -- well, that was filed on your behalf on
- 10 November 22nd, 2009, am I correct?
- 11 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 12 0 Okay. And then you filed an amended statement of
- 13 financial affairs on February 1st, 2010, which appears as
- 14 Exhibit 27?
- 15 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 16 Q And then you filed another amended statement of financial
- 17 affairs that was referred to as a second amended one, about 10
- 18 months after that, on December 10, 2010, and that's Exhibit 28,
- 19 am I correct?
- 20 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 21 Q Now, as I read Exhibits 26, 27 and 28, in their Paragraph
- 22 | 1, income from employment or operation of business, while the
- 23 statements of financial affairs were changed in other respects,
- 24 the answer to Paragraph 1, or Question Number 1 was not
- 25 changed. Did I read that correctly?

8

1 A Yes, Your Honor.

Q Okay. You showed an income on each of those three statements of financial affairs of approximately \$150,000 year-to-date from wages, am I correct?

5 A Yes, Your Honor.

6

8

10

11

13 II

14

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q And then two lines below that, you showed a negative one sixty-three, seven ninety-nine, stated to be income for wages, COD, interest, business, 2007; net of losses. Am I correct?

9 A Yes, Your Honor.

Q How did you afford a rental in East Hampton for \$140,000 for a summer, on a wage income of \$150,000 and a negative income of 163,000, if you take into account the number on the third line?

A Yes. Your Honor, yesterday, one of the exhibits that we went through was that schedule attached to my tax return and it showed that there were payments made -- there was one line item called income payments. There was another line item called CITADEL commissions and I think that CITADEL commissions amount was in the neighborhood of 300 and something thousand dollars.

The lease payment on this East Hampton residence was paid by CITADEL in lieu of paying me a commission that was due to me. So, I didn't pay for this lease out of my pocket, necessarily, instead, CITADEL paid the rent out of commissions that were otherwise due to me.

Q Now, we also talked about differences in perspective

- 1 between you and your counsel and the trustee and her counsel on
- 2 CITADEL vehicle payments as to whether they were guarantees on
- 3 the one-hand or co-debtor obligations on the other. Do you
- 4 remember that testimony generally?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- 6 Q CITADEL was a construction company?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Was this a construction vehicle?
- 9 A No, it was a regular vehicle.
- 10 0 What kind of a vehicle was it?
- 11 A It was a 2008 Range Rover.
- 12 0 How was that used in CITADEL's business?
- 13 A It was used for -- officers, people at the company had
- 14 vehicles that the company would provide them and the company
- 15 would pay for. It could be used for personal purposes, but
- 16 also to transport people to meetings, if they were outside the
- 17 city or to go and visit job sites or in the general operation
- 18 of the business.
- 19 CITADEL had work throughout Connecticut, New York,
- 20 New Jersey, so many people were traveling to a lot of different
- 21 locations and jurisdictions.
- 22 Q How many employees did CITADEL have in 2009?
- 23 A In 2009, CITADEL had probably close to 90 employees. It
- 24 would change from time-to-time because of union personnel. So
- 25 they would hire people from a union and then lay them off after

10

- 1 jobs were finished. But on average it was around 90 employees.
 - Q To what extent, if any, did you use that vehicle?
- 3 A I used the vehicle for personal matters as well as for, if
- 4 I was traveling on CITADEL business. So it's hard to say, it
- 5 would vary from time-to-time.
- 6 Q To what extent, if any, did you report the value of the
- 7 vehicle used for personal business, personal affairs, on your
- 8 income tax?

- 9 A I don't know to the extent, I don't believe that I
- 10 allocated between the business use of the vehicle or the
- 11 personal use of the vehicle for purposes of my income tax
- 12 return.
- If I may, Your Honor, the vehicle was registered in
- 14 the name of CITADEL Construction and the loan was in the name
- 15 of CITADEL Construction. I was just the guarantor of the
- 16 obligation.
- 17 O Forgive me, but I don't remember asking this, or getting
- 18 the answer. Was the value of your apartment that was paid for
- 19 by --
- 20 A Rosedale.
- 21 Q -- Rosedale, reported on your income tax?
- 22 A Yes, I believe it was, Your Honor.
- 23 Q Now, going back to Exhibits 26, 27 and 28, since
- 24 yesterday, have you now come to an understanding of what the
- 25 word COD means on the document that you signed?

- 1 A No, I'm sorry, Your Honor, I have not.
- 2 Q Did you understand any of the numbers that were shown on
- 3 the answer to Question Number 1, to take into account an
- 4 expression we used yesterday, NOLs?
- $5 \mid A$ Yes, Your Honor. I believe that these lines were to
- 6 reflect the carryforward losses that were on my tax returns for
- 7 those periods of time.
- 8 Q You showed zero income in 2008, was that because any
- 9 positive earnings were offset by NOLs?
- 10 A That's correct, Your Honor.
- 11 Q NOLs stands for net operating losses, doesn't it?
- 12 A Yes, it does.
- 13 Q What's your understanding as an intelligent layman of what
- 14 an NOL, or net operating loss, is?
- 15 A A net operating loss, in my parlance, would mean that in a
- 16 given year you might incur a loss that cannot consume all of
- 17 the income in that year. And so that loss can then be carried
- 18 forward into subsequent years to offset against income in those
- 19 periods.
- 20 Q So by way of example, in the year 2008, when you showed a
- 21 zero income, that was because an NOL representing losses from
- 22 an earlier year, was allowed to be used for tax purposes as
- 23 offsetting you 2008 income?
- 24 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 25∥Q Did you consider it necessary or desirable to show how

12

1 much real income you had before you subtracted losses that
2 weren't incurred in the same year but that had been incurred in
3 earlier years?

- A I didn't only because, only because I was producing my income tax returns for those years, which would show the wages and then the corresponding carryforward losses.
- Q And in 2008, in fact, you had approximately \$267,000 of wage income before the NOLs were taken into account?
- 9 A Yeah, that sounds about right.
- 10 Q Does that 267 include, or exclude, any in-kind payments?
- 11 A It would depend, Your Honor. On my W-2, if the in-kind
- 12 payment was coming from the company issuing my W-2, most likely
- 13 any of those payments would be reflected in the W-2. But to
- 14 the extent that it was a non-W-2 in-kind payment, that would
- 15 show up, I believe, on a line referred to as maybe other income
- 16 or, I think what's otherwise known, sometimes as 1099 income.
- 17 0 When you filed any one of the three statements of
- 18 financial affairs, Exhibits 26, 27 and 28, did you consider
- 19 whether it might be appropriate to show your income as anything
- 20 other than zero for the year 2008?
- 21 A I didn't, Your Honor, only because I had produced my tax
- 22 returns and thought that those would answer those types of
- 23 questions.

5

6

- 24 Q Now, the transfer of the \$2 million to Allstar took place
- 25 in October 2008, am I correct?

1 A Yes, Your Honor.

- 2 Q And am I correct that Allstar was formed in or about
- 3 January of 2008?
- 4 A That's correct, Your Honor.
- 5 Q So that's roughly nine or ten months earlier.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q To what extent, if any, had you made transfers to Allstar
- 8 in amounts of \$2 million, or higher, in the ten months from
- 9 January 2008, at which time Allstar was formed, to October
- 10 2008?
- 11 A Well, Your Honor, Allstar was capitalized with
- 12∥ approximately two or \$3 million worth of shares of stock at its
- 13∥ inception. And some of that stock was used to secure a credit
- 14 line for it, with Signature Bank. But apart from those
- 15 transfers, I would make -- Allstar made loans in the several
- 16 hundred thousand dollar increments, up to about the 550,
- 17 \$570,000 loan it made to Curry but, certainly, it hadn't --
- 18 apart from the original transfer of the shares, it hadn't made
- 19 multi-million, I hadn't made multi-million dollar transfers to
- 20 Allstar since then.
- 21 Q You said multi-million in your last answer. In the period
- 22 from January of 2008 to October 2008, did you make any
- 23 transfers from yourself to Allstar in excess of a million
- 24 dollars?
- $25 \parallel A$ No, not apart from the initial capitalization.

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

- Q Your counsel, and I'm speaking of your counsel a little loosely, not just Mr. David, but anybody at his firm, prepare Plaintiff's Exhibit 14, which I'll invite you to look at, in or
- Figure 11 S Exhibite 14, which I if thivite you to fook at, in
- 4 about March of 2011, am I correct?
- 5 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 6 Q Now, by March 2011, you had been in bankruptcy for roughly
- 7 a year and a half?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q To what extent, if any, were any documents generated by
- 10 anybody, to your knowledge, associating payments made on your
- 11 behalf to the loan or transfer you made to Allstar of that \$2
- 12 million? That is between the time it was made in October, 2008
- 13 and the time your counsel prepared Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 in
- 14 March of 2011?
- 15 A I'm sorry, Your Honor. Are you --
- 16 Q Let me rephrase the question. I'm going to object to the
- 17 form of my own question and rephrase. In the period between
- 18 October 2008 and March 2011, what, if any, documents came into
- 19 being that talked about whether payments of expenses on your
- 20 behalf might be credited to the Allstar transfer?
- 21 A I don't believe any, Your Honor. Most of these -- the
- 22 understanding of the payments was all verbal or in my own
- 23 record keeping, in my own head, really.
- 24 Q Did you keep any records other than in your own head?
- $25 \parallel A$ Well, I had bank statements, Your Honor, from Allstar and

15

I also had obtained bank statements from some of the recipient $2 \parallel$ entities that I had the ability to get bank statements for, 3 such as Wurk, or CITADEL or what have you. So we had those to 4 kind of track the repayments.

- 5 Who is the we you used in that last answer?
- Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor. It was primarily me and then 6 Α by virtue of the document production that was made, my counsel.
- Did you ever see any document that referred to the Allstar 8 \$2 million transfer as a loan that came into existence before
- March 3rd, 2011 or a day or two before that?
- The document I may have seen, Your Honor, there were about four temporary restraining orders that the trustee sought and in connection with those, there were times that I had to provide affidavits. I also had to, on one occasion, testify 15 before Judge Gonzalez and I believe those documents consisted

or reflected that I believed that it was a loan, but that would

- probably be the extent to which I would have seen those
- documents. 18

1

11

- 19 I'm not sure if I followed the answer. The Judge Gonzalez you're referring to was Bankruptcy Judge Arthur Gonzalez, now retired, from whom I took this case over?
- 22 Yes, Your Honor. Α
- 23 Now, are you referring to any documents that came into being before there was litigation between you and the trustee?
- 25 Α When you say litigation, do you mean this discharge

1 proceeding or other litigations?

- Q Well, I gather in your last answer, you were talking about
- 3 a restraining order application that was made?
- 4 A Yes, Your Honor. Right after -- I'm sorry, I don't want
- 5 to presume to jump ahead, but right after this case was
- 6 initiated, the trustee moved for a temporary restraining order
- 7 against Allstar and that caused me to testify before Judge
- 8 Gonzalez. I believe Judge Gonzalez denied their request.
- 9 There were subsequent motions for similar types of relief that
- 10 require us to file -- I'm sorry, required me to provide
- 11 affidavits as an officer for Allstar. And when you asked me
- 12 about documents, I was referring to those documents in which I
- 13 made reference to an Allstar loan and Allstar's repayment of
- 14 that loan.

2

- 15 Q Now, to what extent, if any, were there any documents
- 16 referring to that transfer as a loan before you got into
- 17 litigation with the Chapter 7 Trustee?
- 18 A There wouldn't -- I don't believe there were any documents
- 19 \parallel other than bank statements that I would have used to keep track
- 20 of the repayments.
- 21 Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor, just to finish up on that.
- 22 There were -- CITADEL had a general ledger, an accounting
- 23 software system that would reflect payments that it had
- 24 received from me as being credited to Wurk and so on and so
- 25 forth, but that would more along the lines of documenting

- 1 repayments to me as opposed to the loan itself.
- 2 Q At any time before you got into litigation with the
- 3 trustee, was there any document that came into being showing
- 4 that the \$2 million transfer to Allstar was, in fact, a loan
- 5 and not a gift or capital contribution to Allstar?
- 6 A The only document I could think of, Your Honor, would be a
- 7 tax return filed by Allstar and documents that Allstar would
- 8 have filed with Signature Bank to reflect its financial
- 9 condition. If it had been a capital contribution or a gift,
- 10 that would have shown up in those financial statements.
- 11 Q Do you know that such documents exist?
- 12 \mathbb{I} A I know that the tax returns exist.
- 13 Q And you produced that to the trustee's counsel?
- 14 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 15 Q When did that tax return get filed?
- 16∥A Well, the 2008 tax return would have been filed in early
- 17 2009. I think by March of 2009.
- 18 Q And on that 2008 income tax return, filed presumably in
- 19 the spring of 2009, did Allstar reflect any interest expense
- 20 for the obligation, vis-a-vis, that \$2 million, if there was an
- 21 obligation?
- 22 A I don't know. Allstar reflected interest expense on its
- 23 tax return. I don't know if it broke out the interest expense
- 24 that it incurred from my loan or for the line of credit it had
- 25 with Signature Bank at the time. Allstar, Your Honor, did

reflect on its tax return loans. It reflected on its tax
returns loans receivable from people it had lent money to and
if it had been, you know, if it had been a gift or a capital
contribution from me, those would have had to have also been
reflected on Allstar's tax returns.

- 6 Q Well, loans receivable are balance sheet items, aren't
 7 they?
- 8 A Yes, but on the corporate tax return, Your Honor, there's
 9 a page for the balance sheet and the changes to the balance
 10 sheet.
- 11 Q All right. Now, turn back to the pretrial order, if you would, Paragraph 20.
- 13 A Yes.
- Q It says Allstar does not maintain any physical office space, or own any physical property, furniture, fixtures or telephones, is that correct?
- 17 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 18 Q And you were the president and sole officer of Allstar?
- 19 A Yes, Your Honor.
- 20 Q Were you also its sole employee?
- 21 A Allstar did not have any employees, Your Honor.
- 22 Q Didn't have any telephones, did it have a telephone
- 23 number?
- 24 A No, Your Honor.
- 25 \parallel Q What physical presence, if any, did it have in the State

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

19

of Nevada? 1

4

14

- 2 A It had no physical presence other than a mail slot where 3 it could receive mail and correspondence.
 - Who picked up the mail?
- 5 A It was forwarded by the firm.

THE COURT: I have no further questions. You folks 6 didn't object to any questions, but you still have the right to 8 ask follow-ups, starting with you, Mr. Geron, if you wish to 9 avail yourself of that.

MR. GERON: I do. I have about five minutes, Your 10 11 Honor.

12 CROSS EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. GERON:

- Mr. Gordon, you were asked by the Court some questions 15 about the payment of your -- payment for the East Hampton 16 rental of \$140,000. And I believe your answers were that that 17 was paid by CITADEL, is that correct?
- 18 Yes. Α
- You were the signer or a signer on CITADEL's bank account, 19 20 isn't that correct?
- 21 Yes, I was one of several signers.
- And I believe it was your testimony that you directed 22 23 CITADEL to pay that payment in lieu of compensation, or I 24 suppose in payment of compensation owed to you, or commissions

25 owed to you?

20

- MR. DAVID: Object to the form.
- 2 MR. GERON: I'll rephrase it.
- Q The payment made by CITADEL to the landlord on the East
 Hampton property, that was in payment of commissions owed to
- 5 you?

- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q You testified earlier to the Court's questions with
- 8 respect to your 2008 tax return. And as it relates to
- 9 specifically the line items in response to the statement of
- 10 financial affairs, Question 1, do you recall that line of
- 11 questioning?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q With respect to the 2008 line entry, you listed an amount
- 14 of zero consistently, on each of the statements of financial
- 15 affairs, the amended and the second amended, isn't that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q In fact, isn't it, in fact, the case that you had a tax
- 19 over payment for the year 2008 of roughly \$158,000?
- 20 A Can you point me to the exhibit?
- 21 Q Exhibit 59, please.
- 22 A Just one second. I have the exhibit, can you point me to
- 23 that line item?
- 24 Q Let's just first identify that is your 2008 federal tax
- 25 return, 1040?

A Yes.

Q And you caused this to be -- you signed this and caused this to be filed with the IRS, isn't that correct?

MR. DAVID: Objection, Your Honor. May I be heard?

THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Gordon, please leave.

(Witness leaves)

MR. DAVID: Your Honor, respectfully, I do not believe that this line of questioning is oriented towards what you were asking, which was, rather, the reflection of income. What it is oriented towards is the fact that there was originally an allegation in the original complaint and then in the amended complaint which alleged that Mr. Gordon had improperly applied an overpayment to his 2009 taxes. And we had, if you'll recall, a rather extensive debate and discussion about what evidence was going to be adduced on that, including Mr. Del Virginia having filed a declaration on that and then an agreement modifying his declaration to limit it to that topic, et cetera.

Before we came to court, you will recall yesterday at the very, very beginning, there was a stipulation to the effect that that claim was being withdrawn and for that reason it would be unnecessary for Mr. Del Virginia's declaration to be part of the court record and not only that it would be unnecessary to do so, that Mr. Del Virginia would not have to be called to the stand to be examined. Now, in essence, what

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

18

20

21

22

24

25

we're doing is, because you asked a question concerning Question Number 1 on the statement of financial, we're 3 backdooring into the question of whether there was an 4 overpayment and the fact that it was applied to the 2009 tax year and whether that, in fact, was proper.

THE COURT: Yes. I get it. Mr. Geron, do you want to respond?

MR. GERON: Your Honor, my stipulation, on behalf of the trustee that we are not looking to bar the debtor's discharge for those actions because he says that it was done on the advice of counsel, still stands. It stood yesterday morning, it stands today. The Court's questions with respect to the line item, 2008, raised the question about whether that line item should have been zero or could have been -- the NOL could have been carried forward. And the sole purpose of my questioning was to try to get to the point that that line item could easily and probably should have been a negative 158,000, much like the NOL was the year before. That was the sole purpose, I'm not looking to relitigate or reopen the issue about how and whether that was attributable to 2009. And I was actually not going to ask about that.

THE COURT: All right. Well, the objection is sustained to the extent that it might be going to relitigating the propriety of the failure to show or deal with the overpayment. But I'm going to permit it for the limited

23

purpose of contentions that the income for the year 2008 should not have been shown as zero or should have been shown as zero only with an explanation.

So you can repeat that question, but be careful where you go after that, Mr. Geron because I'm going to hold you to what you just said in terms of your purpose, the use you're going to put it to.

MR. GERON: Very well.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVID: Your Honor, may I be prepared? I'm prepared to stipulate to what Mr. Geron just stated, which was that it could have been shown as a minus \$158,000 as opposed to zero. And that will resolve the whole issue on that.

THE COURT: Will that skin the cat, Mr. Geron?

MR. GERON: Yes, it will, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Then bring him back, we'll move onto any further topics or give Mr. David a chance.

MR. GERON: I have just one more topic, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Witness enters courtroom)

Q Mr. Gordon, earlier, in response to the Court's questions, you've testified that you had transferred stock, capitalized, I think was the word you used, the Allstar, by transferring stock into Allstar, do you recall that line of questioning?

A Well, I didn't say that I had -- I don't believe I said I transferred, I said Allstar was initially capitalized by the

24

transfer of stock. I didn't -- if I did say that, I misspoke. 1

2 The transfer of stock to Allstar came by way of the Gordon

3 Family Limited Partnership, the shareholder of Allstar, the 50

4 percent shareholder of Allstar.

5

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

So you, yourself, did not transfer the stock to Allstar, any stock to Allstar, is that correct?

Α No. The stock came from the partnership.

MR. DAVID: Well, I'm confused because normally when you form a company, the company issues stock, it doesn't get stock. And people, in exchange for the stock, even at the formation of a corporation, put money in in exchange for the stock. Mr. Geron, forgive me, but I'm going to interrupt for a 13 clarification on that.

MR. GERON: By all means, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Your Honor, in lieu of a cash contribution, the partnership made a contribution of publicly traded shares to Allstar which Allstar then used to secure a line of credit.

THE COURT: To what extent, if any, did money from either you or any family trust, or family affiliated company, get put into Allstar in January of 2008?

THE WITNESS: Well, certainly -- there was no money from me personally that went to Allstar, but there was money from the family partnership and trust.

THE COURT: I see. And in addition to money,

25

1 marketable securities owned by a family trust were put into 2 Allstar?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And were those publicly traded securities or marketable securities, sold to convert them to cash or were they used merely as collateral?

THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor, they were used a collateral.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Back to you, Mr. Geron. Forgive 10 me.

- 11 Q Mr. Gordon, just closing the loop on that one issue. At
- 12 least insofar as my own reasoning, the Court had asked you, I
- 13 believe, whether you, personally, had made any prior loans to
- 14 Allstar during its existence up to October of 2008, from
- 15 January to October of 2008, whether you had made any prior
- 16 | loans of \$2 million and I believe the answer was no, is that
- 17 correct?

3

4

5

6

7

- 18 A Yes, I had never previously made a loan of \$2 million to
- 19 Allstar.
- 20 Q And I believe just to clarify that, you had also testified
- 21 that you had never made any loans of a million dollars or more
- 22 to Allstar, isn't that correct?
- 23 \mathbb{I} A Right. There was never a need for me to do so.
- Q And, lastly, did you make any loans of 500,000 and above
- 25 to Allstar at any point during its existence before the Allstar

- 1 loan -- the \$2 million loan was made?
- 2 A Well, Allstar borrowed --
- $3 \mid Q = I'm sorry, that's a yes or no answer.$
- 4 A Well, I'm sorry, then, can you repeat the question?
- 5 Q Did you at point make a loan of \$500,000 or more,
- 6 personally, to Allstar?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Did you make any loan personally of \$100,000 and above to
- 9 Allstar, at any point?
- 10 \mathbb{A} \$100,000 I may have, but not 500,000.
- 11 Q May have or are you sure? I mean, I just want --
- 12 A I'm not sure sitting here today. I may have. It wouldn't
- 13 have been out of the ordinary for me to have done so on a
- 14 temporary basis.
- 15 Q And you say it wouldn't have been out of the ordinary
- 16 because you recall several loans being made of 100,00 and
- 17 above?
- 18 A No, I say that because Allstar was making a series of
- 19 loans at the time and from time-to-time it needed to borrow
- 20 money to loan out again and if there was a need and I could
- 21 have met that need, I most certainly would have. So I do
- 22 recall making loans to Allstar, but I don't recall their
- 23 frequency or their specific amount sitting here today.
- 24 Q Did you make -- do you recall any of those loans, when it
- 25 was made and what the amount of those loans would have been? A

27

Not specifically, but as I said, they weren't in the 2 neighborhood of \$500,000.

- So any of those loans would have been less than \$500,000. 3
- 4 Α Yes.

1

21

- 5 Any of those loans, I just have to pin this down, any of 6 those loans less than \$200,000?
- I would seem to recall them being in the neighborhood of 8 75 to \$150,000.
- 9 MR. GERON: Okay. I don't have any further 10 questions, Your Honor.
- Okay, Mr. David. 11 THE COURT:
- 12 MR. DAVID: Your Honor, give me one moment, Your
- 13 Honor. I apologize. No, Your Honor, I don't have any further 14 questions.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. Then Mr. Gordon you're 16 excused and you may remain in the courtroom if you wish.
- 17 MR. GORDON: Your Honor, do you want me to leave the 18 binders?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes, leave the binders up there. They 20 may be needed by somebody else.
- Your Honor, I believe the next witness in MR. GERON: the sequence of things is Mr. David. I need, literally a two 22 minute break to go to the bathroom and then come back and then 24 I can start.
- 25 THE COURT: Why don't we take ten and I'll see you

)-03	767-reg
	David - Cross/Geron 28
1	folks or actually a shade less. Why don't we resume at
2	eleven o'clock?
3	MR. GERON: Okay. And if Mr. Nachamie is here at 11
4	as anticipated, then we'll take Mr. Nachamie first and then
5	I'll I think we spoke about this with the Court yesterday.
6	MR. DAVID: Yes.
7	MR. GERON: And then take Mr. David.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Before we take as much as ten, are
9	you guys comfortable that we'll stay on schedule and be done by
10	noon?
11	MR. GERON: Yes, Your Honor. I think Mr. David is
12	I think Mr. Nachamie, having reviewed my notes, since I think
13	I'm only questioning what's cleanup from the debtor's side, I
14	think I have ten or less minutes with Mr. Nachamie and I have
15	30 minutes with Mr. David. So I think we'll be on time.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Ten minutes then. We're in
17	recess.
18	COURT CLERK: All rise.
19	(Recess)
20	THE COURT: Have seats, please. Okay, Mr. Geron.
21	MR. GERON: Your Honor, the trustee calls Donald M.
22	David to the stand, please.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. David.

24

25

COURT CLERK: Raise your right hand.

DONALD DAVID, TRUSTEE'S WITNESS, SWORN

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

10-03 67-reg Doc 58 Filed 03/21/13 Entered 03/22/13 09:59:48 Main Document

Nachamie - Cross/Geron

THE COURT: Yes, of course. Mr. Nachamie, welcome.

2 You want to come up and remain standing to be sworn, please.

BARTON NACHAMIE, TRUSTEE'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat, please, Mr. Nachamie.

30

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION

7∥BY MR. GERON:

3

4

5

6

- 8 Q Mr. Nachamie, good morning. My name is Yann Geron. I
- 9 represent the trustee in this case. Thank you for coming in.
- 10 I believe, I just want to remind you that your direct testimony
- 11 was entered in the court record by an affidavit that you signed
- 12 in or about November of 2012. I can't quite make out the date.
- 13 Do you recall that affidavit, sir?
- 14 A Yes, I do. I don't recall the date, but I recall the
- 15 affidavit.
- 16 Q Okay. So this is really by nature of a brief cross
- 17 examination. Your affidavit was submitted in connection with
- 18 a retention letter that your firm entered into on or about
- 19 October 14th, 2009. Do you recall that retention letter,
- 20 retainer letter?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22∥Q Okay. Let me just get it in front of you, sir. It's
- 23 Exhibit 29 in the binder that has those exhibits -- there's
- 24 should be two binders. May I approach the witness so I can
- 25 help the witness, Your Honor?

Nachamie - Cross/Geron 31

THE COURT: Yes.

- 2 A I don't see -- 29?
- $3 \parallel Q$ Sir, do you have Exhibit 29 in front of you?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q And that's an engagement letter signed by you on behalf of
- 6 your firm, Todtman, Nachamie, Spizz and Johns?
- $7 \mid A$ It appears to be electronically signed, yes.
- 8 Q It was generated under your authority, sir?
- 9 A Yes, it was.
- 10 Q And it was transmitted to Dan Gordon by e-mail?
- 11 A I believe that's correct.
- 12 Q Sir, I just want to make sure to confirm, this retainer
- 13 letter is address to Mr. Gordon personally, is it not?
- 14 A Yes, it is.
- 15 Q I draw your attention to each of the headers, that is the
- 16 top of the second page, third page and so on. It addresses Mr.
- 17 Daniel Gordon personally, does it not?
- 18 A Yes, it does.
- 19 Q On the middle of the page of the second page, there's a
- 20 definition of client, sir, do you see that? It says throughout
- 21 this letter I will be referring to you as client. Do you see
- 22 that sentence? It's in the middle of the second page. Fifth
- 23 paragraph, sort of independent paragraph down. It says just --
- 24 A Yes, I do, I see it.
- $25 \parallel Q$ And so you is defined, in the letter, is Daniel Gordon, is

Nachamie - Cross/Geron

32

that correct? 1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

24

That's what it says.

MR. DAVID: Objection.

And Daniel Gordon -- sorry.

MR. DAVID: I objected but I'll withdraw the

objection, Your Honor. You have an answer already. 6

THE COURT: I couldn't hear you, Mr. David.

And Daniel Gordon is the client in the letter, isn't that correct?

MR. DAVID: That's my fault, Your Honor. I said I objected but the witness had already answered so I'll withdraw 12 the objection.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 And Daniel Gordon is the client in the letter, isn't that 15 correct?

16 MR. DAVID: Objection, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that if it's intended to be based on me drawing a conclusion as to an 19 ultimate fact. Is that the basis, Mr. David?

MR. DAVID: Yes, it is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I understand the factual 22 premises for your argument, Mr. Geron but I will make the 23 ultimate legal conclusion.

MR. GERON: Very well, Your Honor, thank you.

25 Mr. Nachamie, at the end of the letter, just confirming

Nachamie - Cross/David

33

1 that was executed -- that was signed to your knowledge, by

- 2 Daniel Gordon, isn't that correct?
- $3 \mid A$ It appears to be signed by Daniel Gordon.
- $4 \mid 0$ And, lastly, sir, jut in connection with what is Exhibit C
- 5 to your affidavit, it is an exchange of e-mails between you and
- 6 Mr. Gordon, isn't that right? That is the last page, sir, I
- 7 | think it's the last page of the affidavit. Oh, I'm sorry, I
- 8 don't know if you have that. It should be in that exhibit, it
- 9 should be the last page of that exhibit.
- 10 A If it's an e-mail -- what I see here as the last page is
- 11 an e-mail sent October 15th, 2009.
- 12∥Q Correct, sir, and that's the exhibit from -- I'm sorry,
- 13 that's an e-mail from Dan Gordon to you asking for a
- 14 modification in the letter. That is the sole e-mail that you
- 15 received from -- that you have received, or your firm has
- 16 received from Dan Gordon in connection with the modification of
- 17 that retainer letter?
- 18 A As far as I know.
- 19 Q And you confirmed that that modification was acceptable to
- 20 you and your firm, is that correct?
- 21 A As to -- yes, I did.
- 22 MR. GERON: Your Honor, that's all I needed to ask.
- 23 I have no further questions of Mr. Nachamie.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. David.
- 25 CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVID: 1

2 Mr. Nachamie, please take a look at that e-mail which was 3 referred to. When you received that e-mail what was your

Nachamie - Cross/David / Recross/Geron

34

- 4 understanding of that e-mail?
- 5 This was either before or after a conversation I had with
- $6 \parallel \text{Mr.}$ Gordon in preparation for a meeting I was going to have the
- following couple of days. That, in fact, the owner -- that he
- 8 was not the owner of McCann (phonetic), it was the Family
- 9 Limited Partnership was the owner and that would be substituted
- as the client. 10
- I'm sorry, what would be substituted as the client? 11
- 12 The Family Limited Partnership since Dan Gordon was not an
- owner of the company. 13 II
- 14 So, sir, is it fair to say that you had the understanding
- 15 as a result of that e-mail that Mr. Gordon was not, in fact,
- individually the client you were representing on that matter?
- 17 That's correct.
- 18 MR. DAVID: No further questions.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Geron?
- RECROSS EXAMINATION 20
- BY MR. GERON:
- Mr. Nachamie, did you issue another retainer letter to Mr. 22
- 23 Gordon?
- No, I do not believe we did. 24 Α
- 25 Q Did McCann Construction pay your retainer?

	Pg 35 of 57
	David - Cont'd Direct/Geron 35
1	A I have no present recollection as to who paid it.
2	MR. GERON: One second, Your Honor. I have no
3	further questions, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. David, any follow-up?
5	MR. DAVID: No follow-up, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Nachamie. You
7	needn't stay unless you choose to.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Have a good day.
10	MR. GERON: The trustee recalls Mr. David to the
11	stand.
12	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. David. No need to be resworn.
13	MR. DAVID: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. GERON:
16	Q Mr. David, you recall all the testimony yesterday and
17	today in connection with the alleged loan repayment by Allstar
18	to Mr. Gordon, do you not?
19	A I'm not certain that I recall it all. I believe I recall
20	the substance of virtually all of it.
21	Q Okay. You did not personally manage Allstar at any point
22	did you?
23	A No.
24	Q You did not direct, control or witness any of the

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

25 transactions that are alleged to constitute loan repayments by

David - Cont'd Cross/Geron

- 1 Allstar to Mr. Gordon, did you?
- 2 A May I look at Exhibit 14 and 13?
- 3 Q By all means. Take a look at Exhibit 13, stipulated
- 4 Exhibit 13 and 14. And let me know, please, if there are any
- 5 of those transactions that you specifically managed, supervised
- 6 or controlled.
- $7 \parallel A$ Well, I can answer the question as it was just phrased.
- 8 did not manage or control any of these transactions. I did not
- 9 manage or control Allstar or CITADEL or Wurk.
- 10 Q So as you sit here today, you are not able to testify as a
- 11 matter of fact, that Allstar actually repaid its loan to Dan
- 12 Gordon, can you?
- 13 A I can testify as to certain --
- 14 Q I'm sorry, that's kind of a yes or no answer. Can you
- 15 answer today whether as a matter of fact known to you, that you
- 16 witnessed, the loan from Allstar was repaid to Dan Gordon?
- 17 \parallel A I cannot answer that question yes or no.
- 18 Q Okay. Now, it's -- by all means explain.
- 19 A All right. I, in fact, do know some of these transactions
- 20 and was aware of some of these transactions that comprise
- 21 Exhibit Number 13. Some of them I do not know of.
- 22 Q Which transaction are you aware of?
- 23 A I am aware of the transactions involving CITADEL
- 24 Construction and Wurk. I was also aware of the relationship
- 25 | between Wurk and Allstar because I represented Wurk, actually,

37

- 1 technically, one of my people who work for me, was representing
- 2 Wurk and, therefore, I know about some of these payments.
- 3 Q Mr. David, what were the loan terms between Mr. Gordon and
- 4 Allstar?
- 5 A I don't know anything about the loan terms, I only know
- 6 that certain payments were made and I was told they were in
- 7 | return for the repayment of a loan made by Mr. Gordon well
- 8 before we got into this whole thing.
- $9 \parallel 0$ Mr. David, what was the interest rate that Mr. Gordon was
- 10 charging Allstar?
- 11 A Again, I can't tell you, I wasn't familiar with that.
- 12 Q When was the loan due and payable by Allstar to Mr.
- 13 Gordon?
- 14 A My understanding was, that it was a demand loan, at least
- 15 that's what I was told.
- 16 Q You've never seen any documents evidencing a loan from Mr.
- 17 David (sic) to Allstar, isn't that correct?
- 18 A Mr. David didn't make a loan --
- 19 Q I'm sorry, from Mr. Gordon to Allstar.
- 20 A I believe, actually, I did at one point see something
- 21 involving Allstar's books and records that did evidence a loan.
- 22 I don't recall the specifics of it at this point.
- 23 0 What was --
- 24 A It was an entry and it had to do with the dispute with
- 25 | Signature Bank.

38

So it's your testimony that there is some entry in the 1 2 Allstar books and records that arose in connection with the 3 Signature Bank, that evidences a loan from Dan Gordon to

4 Allstar?

5

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

22

so far.

What I said was, what I said, which was, I remember No. 6 in connection with the dispute with Signature Bank that I saw that there was a document which I hd received at one point from 8 Allstar, while I was dealing with Signature Bank, that indicated that a loan had been made by Dan Gordon. I can -if you want I can tell you more about the circumstances, but that's the best answer I can give to the question you've asked

I'm trying to pin down the firsthand knowledge that you have of the Allstar loan and as I understand from your testimony, you do not have firsthand knowledge, that is, you were not there at the item of the generation of the loan, is that correct?

18 Correct.

> And you were not there in the actual transactions, you were not controlling or witnessing the actual transactions that are alleged to represent the repayment of that loan, am I correct in that as well?

23 You're incorrect insofar as witness.

So as counsel to the debtor, or counsel to Wurk, or any of 24 those entities, you witnessed some of those transactions?

39

A As counsel to Wurk, I was aware and witnessed a payment and actually more than one payment, that was made to CITADEL

3 who I was also counsel to.

O And -- sorry.

4

18

- A And in that connection, was apprized that it was part of the process of repaying money that Dan Gordon had lent.
- 7 Q Who apprized you of that?
- 8 A Two people apprized me of that. David Stack and Dan
 9 Gordon. And, actually, Kimberly Matera (phonetic) may have
 10 mentioned it to me also. I'm sorry.
- Q When was that conversation had with Ms. Matera? Mind you,
 I just want to remind you that when we talk about this, I will
 now -- you're opening -- these are your clients, you're telling
- me about your clients' communications.

 15 A Sir, I'm answering questions, I'm not the attorney that is
- 16 sitting at the table right now, to make an objection. I hav
- no choice but to answer your questions without an objection.
- 19 this, I am having -- having been brought up believe that the
- 20 hearsay rule is applicable in federal courts, you're asking him

THE COURT: Gentlemen, while you're arguing about

- 21 for one question after another, that elicits hearsay, Mr.
- 22 Geron. I'm puzzled by that.
- MR. GERON: Your Honor, I'm actually surprised by the answers themselves. Maybe I should just go into a different line and see whether we can tie this together.

O Mr. David, you have in front of you Exhibits 13 and 14.

- 2 Let's start with Exhibit 13, please.
- 3 A Yes, sir.

1

- 4 Q This document was prepared by you in order to provide the
- 5 trustee and her counsel with a summary of the transactions
- 6 which you allege or your client alleges represent the repayment
- 7 of the \$2 million loan by Dan Gordon to Allstar?
- 8 A May I proffer only a minor correction to that, to give you
- 9 a correct answer?
- 10 0 Sure.
- 11 A No, it was not. It was prepared under my direction by my
- 12 then assistant Denise Sidler (phonetic).
- 13 Q So you directed your assistant to prepare this document?
- 14 A I directed my assistant to prepare a schedule of payments
- 15 from documents that we had that we understood to be payments
- 16 made by Allstar and I gave her some direction as to some of the
- 17 transactions that I knew about.
- 18 Q You reviewed this document before it was produced to us?
- 19∥A I did. And I -- I, obviously, made a mistake.
- 20 Q I'm sorry, hold on. Please, let me just ask my questions
- 21 then you can testify.
- 22 A Certainly, please.
- 23 Q Your client, Dan Gordon, did not prepare that document, is
- 24 that correct?
- 25 A That is correct.

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

40

41

1 Q And your that correct?

2 A My hesitation, if I could is, I don't remember whether at

3 that time I was representing Mr. Gordon or Mr. Allstar (sic). I

4 initially did not represent Mr. Gordon in these proceedings.

5 That's my only hesitation.

- Q And the documents that accompany that schedule, in 13, are te backups to the transactions that are listed on Exhibit 13?
- 8 A That was my understanding.
- 9 Q Please take a look at the checks, or wires, the various 10 backup documents for a moment.
- 11 A Is there one you'd like to direct my attention to?
- 12 Q Any of them, tell me which ones of them have a notation
- 13 that says loan repayment to Dan Gordon or something to that
- 14 effect.
- 15 \mid A I would presume that probably none of them do.
- $16 \parallel Q$ Will you confirm that for me on the stand today, please?
- 17 A Yes, certainly. Just to be clear, some of these I'm not
- 18 capable of seeing, I apologize. My eyesight is just not as
- 19 good as it used to be. But to the best of what I can see,
- 20 there is nothing that expressly says that any of these are for
- 21 loan repayment or any other purpose.
- 22∥Q And yet -- well, you testified that you did not have Dan
- 23∥Gordon -- in your direct testimony you testified that you did
- 24 not have Dan Gordon review this document before you sent it to
- 25 the trustee, is that correct?

1 A That is correct. I had sent it to Mr. Gordon by e-mail 2 and said that if I didn't get a response I would be sending it

on. I wasn't aware he was not in the country at the time and

4 when I didn't get a response, I gave it to Andrez saying that

5 if there were any errors I'd correct them. Two days later Mr.

 $\mathsf{6} \, \mathsf{I} \, \mathsf{Gordon} \, \mathsf{did} \, \mathsf{respond} \, \mathsf{and} \, \mathsf{I} \, \mathsf{did} \, \mathsf{correct} \, \mathsf{it}.$

7 Q Take a look, please, at the e-mail of your transmittal to

Andrez Carberry, whom I will stipulate to the Court was

9 formerly an colleague of mine at Fox Rothschild. He's no

10 longer at Fox Rothschild but was dealing as counsel in this

11 matter. Take a look if you will, please, at that exhibit to

12 your affidavit.

13 A Which one?

14 O It is Exhibit 1 to your affidavit. Do you have that?

15 A I don't have my affidavit here. Can you give me an

16 exhibit number?

17 0 Exhibit 13, sir.

18 A That's not --

19 THE COURT: I think his affidavit is 15, isn't it?

UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: I'm sorry, I was talking

21 about the e-mail.

20

22 MR. GERON: I'm sorry, Exhibit 15 has the -- hold on.

23 Exhibit 15 and it's Bates Number ST-00121.

24 A Yes, I have that. That is, I believe, the same as is the

25 cover e-mail for Exhibit 13.

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

42

43

1 Sir, when you read that statement, the transmittal 2 statement to Mr. Carberry, where in that statement does it say 3 that it's subject to your client's review?

- I'm just reading it, please understand. It does not 5 specifically say that it is, sir.
- Does it say anything about these constituting some, but 6 0 not all of the loan payments?
- Well, as far as I'm concerned, sir, I believe that the 9 first three sentences of the document make that clear. 10 certainly in the course of the conversations I had with Mr. Carberry, I made that clear.
- Well, the question was very specific. Does it say 12 anywhere in here that this represents some, but not all of the 14 transactions?
- 15 If you, sir, I'm telling you, I believe the first three 16∥ sentences make that clear. And if I might note, I would say 17 \parallel that the sentence right after that certainly makes it clear.
- So your position is that that language tells us that there 18 are additional transactions.
- It specifically says that when combined with the 20 previously identified documents which we provided the 22∥applicable Bates numbers, this represents the production or identification of all applicable documents. Yes.
- 24 0 Okay.

8

11

25 I refer to other documents that I had produced previously.

44

- 1 Q Understood. Take a look, please, at Exhibit 14.
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q This is the corrected schedule that you prepared that
- 4 represents the Allstar loan repayment, isn't that correct?
- 5 Your firm prepared.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Now, you allege in your direct testimony that you sent the
- 8 original schedule, that is Exhibit 13, to your client and then
- 9 he promptly contacted you and informed you that a few of the
- 10 entries on the schedule should not have been listed, isn't that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And, specifically, Mr. Gordon recognized that the Amex
- 14 payments should not have been on that schedule, isn't that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 \mid 0 And so, he instructed you to remove the Amex payments from
- 18 that schedule, isn't that correct?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q He did not instruct you to remove the Amex payments?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q You removed the Amex payments at whose instruction?
- 23 A It was a natural consequence of being told that they were,
- 24 in fact, not repayments and, therefore, as an officer of the
- 25∥ court, and also because as you know, we've been pretty cordial

45

with each other, I felt that it was the appropriate thing to
do, was to immediately advise Mr. Carberry of such and correct

- 4 Q So this was your judgment call about removing the Amex 5 line entries, this was not Mr. Gordon's instruction to you?
- 6 A He didn't instruct me to do it because he didn't have a 7 role in preparing this. He told me I made a mistake.
- 8 Q I just want to make sure that we understand today that, is
 9 it your testimony today that these transactions in Exhibit 14
 10 represents, actually represents loan repayments by Allstar to
 11 Dan Gordon? This is your personal testimony today.
- 12 A No, sir, that is not what I've said.

3 | it.

- Q So when you removed the Amex payments, the remaining payments on there, do they now represent, to your knowledge, personally, the repayment of loans by Allstar to Dan Gordon?
- 16 A Some of them I can say that definitely. Some of them I
 17 cannot personally and definitively state.
- Q As to Exhibit 14, sir, did Dan Gordon review that document before it was sent to Mr. Carberry?
- A I don't truly recall, I'm sorry. I would think that I
 sent it to him but I cannot confirm that. I'm sorry. It would
 make sense that I would send it to him, having made a mistake
 once.
- Q Did he make any further comments with respect to mistakes that you might have made with respect to that schedule at any

46

1 point?

2

5

6

7

15

17

18

21

Α Yes.

3 When did he make those comments and what was the substance 4 of those comments?

MR. DEL VIRGINIA: Objection, Your Honor.

Α I mean, I --

THE COURT: Just a minute, I've got a pending 8 objection, Mr. David. I'm sustaining that objection on 9 attorney/client privilege grounds. To the extent that there 10 were any authorizations to communicate to the outside world, 11 they're not privileged. To the extent that Mr. David reports 12 anything to his client that he heard from the outside world, 13 that's not privileged. That's the case of J.P. Foley & Company 14 v. Vanderbilt.

But to the extent they have internal discussions 16 about the best way to present Mr. Gordon's contentions to the trustee, that's privileged.

Let me just ask it this way then. Did you ever correct 19 Schedule 14? Did you provide Mr. Carberry or my firm any 20 corrections to Schedule 14?

Not as corrections.

22**|** 0 As? Is there another word?

23 A Additions.

So you've provided a schedule with additions to Schedule 24∥ Q

25 14?

47

1 Α No.

2

3

5

11

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

What additional additions did you add to Schedule 14?

I had indicated to Mr. Carberry that this was not all of 4 the transactions that comprised repayments. And -- which was rather obvious given the fact that if you notice it doesn't $6\parallel$ equal \$2 million exactly. I indicated to him that there were a number of other transactions. He asked me what some of them

were. If you remember, Mr. Geron, he came to my office.

sat with me and he and I searched through a database of

documents. 10

MR. GERON: Move to strike, that's non-responsive, 12 Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to deny that. I'm going to let both of you keep going. I've got to tell you that I have a minimal basis, if any, for relying on practically anything Mr. David said either supporting his client or supporting your perception, Mr. Geron because everything he knows is hearsay. Every source of his information is hearsay. You established

MR. GERON: Then, Your Honor, I really have just one more area to explore and it will be five minutes, I think.

that by foundation questions you asked a long time ago.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. David, you testified earlier that your client -- I'm sorry, actually two more areas. One very brief one and that's just a foundational question, I think. Mr. David, you and your

48

1 firm have represented Dan Gordon and several of his entities,

- 2 isn't that correct? You or your firm.
- 3 A At what time?
- 4 0 At any time.
- 5 A At any time, the answer is yes.
- 6 Q What entities of Mr. Gordon have you or your firm
- 7 represented?
- 8 A Wurk Environment is the only entity of Mr. Gordon's that
- 9 I've represented but that's probably an incomplete answer. I'm
- 10 just telling you that. I don't want to deceive you.
- 11 Q You did not represent Allstar?
- 12 A I did, but Allstar is not Mr. Gordon's entity.
- 13 Q An entity -- how about this. Any insider entities? Have
- 14 you represented any insider entities to Mr. Gordon, in addition
- 15 to Mr. Gordon himself?
- 16∥A Yes, I have. I've represented Wurk, which I believe would
- 17∥ be an insider entity and I've represented Allstar which I
- 18 believe, understanding that I'm not a bankruptcy lawyer, but I
- 19 believe that to be an insider entity.
- $20 \parallel Q$ And in both of those instances, your primary contact was
- 21 Mr. Gordon, in both of those insider entities, your primary
- 22 contact with Mr. Gordon?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q With respect to Exhibit 14, this is the corrected
- 25 schedule. I think you testified earlier that Mr. Gordon

49

- 1 pointed out that Exhibit 13 was in error, that the Amex
- 2 transfers needed to be corrected and that's, in fact, what you
- 3 did, isn't that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$ And what you stated in your affidavit is that, and I'll
- 6 quote it, that is all there is to it. There was nothing
- $7 \parallel$ devious or planned or plotted by Mr. Gordon or anyone else, it
- 8 was simply a mistake of improperly believing that the payments
- 9 by Allstar reflected payments of the loan rather than
- 10 attributable to Mr. Gordon. The error was mine not Mr.
- 11 Gordon's or anyone else's. That's your statement, isn't that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Look at Exhibit 13, please. And how many line item
- 15 transactions are there, please?
- 16 A If you'll tell me what they are, I'll agree.
- 17 O Okay. Nineteen
- 18 A Okay, I'll agree.
- 19 Q How many of those line items are Amex transactions? I'll
- 20 tell you and you'll hopefully agree. Three.
- 21 A I only see two, give me one moment. Three, correct.
- 22 Q Look at Exhibit 14, please. How many line items are
- 23 there?
- 24 A I believe yesterday you said there were 20.
- 25∥Q Right. So I'm just following my math for a moment. You

	David - Redirect/Del Virginia 50
1	started with 19 on Exhibit 13, you presumably took three out
2	for Amex and wind up with 20 transactions sent on Exhibit 14,
3	is that correct? Am I at least getting those numbers correct?
4	A The numbers are correct. The conclusion is not.
5	Q I'm not making any conclusions, I'm just simply noting
6	that the transaction on Exhibit 13 are less than the
7	transactions on Exhibit 14 but do not reflect the Amex
8	transaction, is that correct?
9	A That is correct.
10	MR. GERON: Okay. I have no further questions of Mr
11	David.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Del Virginia, any redirect?
13	MR. DEL VIRGINIA: Your Honor, briefly.
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. DEL VIRGINIA:
16	Q Mr. David, you testified that you represented, or you
17	and/or your firm represented CITADEL Construction Corp., is
18	that correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did there come a time when you dealt with any individuals
21	at CITADEL?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And who were those individuals?
24	A Initially, it was Ed Romero and then it was Ed Romero and
25	David Stack and ultimately after one of the projects went bad,

David - Redirect/Del Virginia 51 1 Dan Gordon came in as their consultant. 2 As to Messrs. Stack and Romero, did you have an 3 understanding as to their position at CITADEL? Yes. 4 Α 5 And what was that understanding? 0 Objection. Calls for hearsay, Your 6 MR. GERON: 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain it, except insofar 9 as his understanding might have made a difference. But that's mainly a sustain, Mr. Del Virginia, unless you can give me an 10 offer of proof that the next question you're going to ask is 11 his acting based on his understanding of who they said they were, I'm going to have to sustain further objections. Putting it a different way, if you're asking him what role they had or 15 what their positions were and the only source of his 16 understanding that is what they told him, that's hearsay. 17 MR. DEL VIRGINIA: Understood, Your Honor. Did you attend any meetings with Messrs. Romero and Stack? 18 19 Α Yes. And did you have an understanding as to who was making the 20 21 operational decisions at CITADEL? 22 Yes. Α

23 And what was your understanding? 0

My understanding as it related to the transactions that I 24 Α 25 was involved in, was that Mr. Stack was the person to whom I

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13 II

15

16

17

20

22

23

25

reported and who made the decisions and he signed the documents 2 effectuating those decisions.

MR. DEL VIRGINIA: Thank you, Mr. David, no more questions.

THE COURT: All right. Mr Geron, any follow-up limited to what Mr. Del Virginia asked?

MR. GERON: Nothing, Your Honor.

All right. Mr. David, you're released as THE COURT: a witness and you can come on back to the counsel table. what extent do we have any more live witnesses in this trial?

MR. GERON: None that I know of, Your Honor, unless Mr. David, there's something else on the list. No, I think we're done. I think the parties did confer in connection with a schedule and I can report to the Court about what that conference resulted.

> THE COURT: Okay, go ahead, please.

This was putting my delay coming to court MR. GERON: into good use. The parties conferred and we, subject to the Court's approval, we agree that the post-trial submissions, that is the briefs, would be put in Your Honor's hands on April 30th, if that's acceptable to the Court. That would give us time to get the transcripts without expedited and to file the submissions. We did not discuss a specific time, but I would submit that because these are simultaneous, it would be helpful to have a specific time and, therefore, perhaps, five o'clock

on the 30th.

1

2

5

6

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we did not yet clear because we need to speak 3 with Ms. Blum about that, is an argument date, sometime about 4 two or three weeks following that, subject to the Court's availability, of course.

THE COURT: That's agreeable in principle. I'm going to have to talk to Ms. Blum and let you guys know the exact date. We'll schedule it for date when none of you has any 9 known conflicts.

MR. GERON: Okay. I think that's the close on our side, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. David did he get your deal 13 correct?

> Yes, Your Honor. MR. DAVID:

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Post-trial briefs due electronically, must be posted on ECF by five o'clock on the 30th. I also need hard copies of whatever you file within 24 hours thereafter. And I also want e-mailed to my chambers any submissions you do in a commonly used word-processing format, such as Microsoft Word or Word Perfect.

MR. DAVID: Your Honor, would the Court like me to formally open and close my part of this case?

THE COURT: If you want to do it formally --

MR. DAVID: I don't want to, I'm just --

THE COURT: -- you're free to do that. It's probably

1

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

15

17

19

20

22 **I**

23

25

a good practice to tie off shoelaces, kind of like you suggested. Mr. Geron, am I correct that the trustee now rests? 3 Have all of the documents been offered into evidence? MR. GERON: No. THE COURT: You said you intended to do that at the MR. GERON: No, that was -- that's a shoelace that I forgot to tie, Your Honor. I move for the admission of the documents that were marked as stipulated exhibits and inside the binders that are in the first and second binder, I move their admission. THE COURT: Okay. Now, to what extent -- are there 13 any where you didn't stipulate to admissibility and I have to rule on evidentiary objections to any of the exhibits? MR. GERON: There are none on the trustee's side, 16 Your Honor. Okay. Now, am I correct that the trustee THE COURT: 18 rests? Yes, Your Honor. MR. GERON: Okay. Now, Mr. David, I had understood THE COURT: that Mr. Nachamie was your witness. I guess you had a hybrid capacity. Am I correct that you have no further witnesses? MR. DAVID: Your Honor, I have no further witnesses, but, respectfully, to be clear, I did not have a hybrid

capacity. My affidavit was submitted as part of an agreement

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

17

19

20

22

with the trustee who asked me to submit an affidavit explaining $2 \parallel$ what happened. It was not indicated that that would be put to 3 use as an affidavit in lieu of direct and I did not object to 4 it being included in the exhibits if it was included. sure what -- I didn't object to it being included but it is --I did not appear either on direct or cross on our case, I only appeared on the trustee's case.

So my only witness, as such, on my case is Mr. Nachamie. And he testified on direct by means of affidavit and was cross examined. Quite obviously, I did direct -- I did cross as of direct of Mr. Gordon and I would ask the Court as part of my case to consider the totality of Mr. Gordon's testimony, although I did not feel it was necessary or appropriate to recall him to the stand as a witness on direct.

THE COURT: Of course. That's the way we regularly do adverse directs. So, that's fine.

MR. DAVID: And, therefore, Your Honor, I would like to rest my defense at this time.

THE COURT: Fair enough. Okay. Then do we have any further -- oh, did you have any additional exhibits that you were introducing that haven't already been stipulated to be in? MR. DAVID: Yes, Your Honor, if I might. I would ask the Court to take judicial notice of Exhibit Number 3 in the table of defendant's proposed additional exhibits. To be clear for the purposes of the record, Exhibit Number 1 was

1 unnecessary as a result of this Court's ruling on the motion in 2 limine. Exhibit Number 2, there is a potential problem with. 3 May I have a moment to confer with Mr. Geron? THE COURT: Yes. 4 5 MR. GERON: Your Honor, can I have a quick moment also with Mr. David? 6 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MR. DAVID: Okay. Your Honor, if I may, based upon my conversations with Mr. Geron, I will not be asking that the 9 Court mark any additional exhibits. THE COURT: Not even Exhibit 3? 11 MR. DAVID: Not even Exhibit 3, Your Honor. 12 13 Geron has indicated to me that they have dropped that claim and, therefore, I don't believe that it's appropriate or 15 necessary to clutter the record. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Then are we finished, folks? 17 Yes, Your Honor. Thank you very much. MR. GERON: THE COURT: All right. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATION

I, ELAINE HOWELL, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter and to the best of my ability.

Elaine Howell	
---------------	--

ELAINE HOWELL

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: March 20, 2013